



Motivators Summary

An Evaluation of Motivational Styles

Report For: Sample Report

Date: 3/11/2024



About This Report


Research shows that the most successful people share the common trait of self-awareness. They're able to more quickly recognize situations that will make them more successful. As such, it's easier for them to find ways of achieving objectives that resonate and align with their motivations. Those who understand their natural motivators better are far more likely to pursue the right opportunities, for the right reasons, and get the results they desire.

Motivation helps influence behavior and action. It is vital for superior performance to ensure that your motivations are satisfied by what you do to drive your passion, reduce fatigue, and inspire you.

The Motivators assessment is the result of Dr. Eduard Spranger's and Gordon Allport's combined research into what drives and motivates an individual. The dimensions of value discovered between these two researchers identify the reasons that drive an individual to utilize their talents in the unique way they do. These pages will help you understand your motivations and drivers, providing a clear course on how to maximize your performance by achieving better alignment with your passion for what you do and your behavior.

The Elements of the Motivation Index


This Motivation Index is unique to the marketplace in that it examines seven independent and unique aspects of motivation. Most similar instruments only examine six dimensions of motivation by combining the Individualistic and Power into one dimension. This assessment remains true to the original works and models of two of the most significant researchers in this field, thus delivering to you a profile that truly helps you understand your own unique drivers.



A Closer Look at the Seven Motivator Dimension Scores

Each descriptor below reveals your preference for shaping behavior and indicates what energizes you.

Motivator	Low Score Energized by	High Score Energized by
Aesthetic	Grounded Pragmatic and tangible approaches that bring concrete and reliable results.	Eccentric Achieving equilibrium and harmony between the world around you and yourself.
Economic	Satisfied Less competitive approaches and being more satisfied with what you already have.	Self-Mastered Self-interest, economic gains, and achieving real-world returns on efforts.
Individualistic	Secure Not seeking the limelight, keeping ideas to yourself, and less likelihood of self- promotion.	Unrestricted Expressing your autonomy and freedom from others' ideas and protocols.
Power	Submissive Supporting other people's efforts and a less focused approach to owning your own personal space.	Domineering Directing and controlling people, environments, and personal spaces.
Altruistic	Self-Focused Focusing on personal wants and needs and taking a more suspicious stance towards the moves of others.	Pushover Helping and eliminating pain and suffering of others at personal cost.
Regulatory	Defiant Remaining independent of as opposed to depending on the restrictive ideas of others.	Black & White Establishing routine, order, and setting boundaries for yourself and others.
Theoretical	Disinterested A more dismissive view of gathering new information and discovery while relying more on your natural instincts and past experiences for answers.	Scholarly Activities towards knowing everything that can be known about what you believe to be important and truthful.



Personal Scores

Importance & Impact

- 1. IMPORTANCE: The score number & solid bar Individual Score shows how much passion you have for that dimension, and reveals how you'll likely express that Motivator
- 2. IMPACT: Ranking
 Distance from 50 (whether high or low) indicates the order of influence that the motivator has on your decisions, from 1-7

Population Scores

Comparison

- 1. The median line
 - Half of the population scores above and half scores below the median
- 2. The grey box plot

68.32% of respondents fall within 1 Standard Deviation from mean


3. The shaded area

93.07% of respondents fall within 2 Standard Deviations from mean

If your score falls <u>outside</u> of the shaded area, you are unlike most of the population in this dimension, whether your score is high or low.

Sample's Motivator Word Matrix

The Motivator Word Matrix translates your numeric score into a descriptor for each dimension to help you better identify, understand, and share your motivational orientation. Keep in mind, **the ranges for each of the seven Motivator scales are different**. This means the words will not likely be highlighted in the same area from dimension to dimension, even if your score number is the same.



Details of Sample's Motivation



Aesthetic - Eccentric

You tend to think "alternatively" and will likely seek personal fulfillment, creative alternatives, and peace of mind rather than the status quo.



Economic - Incentivized

You will likely possess a competitive spirit and will focus on gaining a practical return for your time and energy.



Individualistic - Secure

You appreciate what others bring to the table and may be apt to become the unsung hero of any project or team.



Power - Passive

You are a better collaborator and won't likely seek position power or authoritative roles.



Altruistic - Helpful

You are able to balance your own needs and the needs of others on the team.



Regulatory - Independent

You believe there's always another way when the current situation changes or roadblocks are apparent.



Theoretical - Studious

You will learn whatever you need to know to get the job done and then some.

Disclaimer

There are no warranties, express or implied, regarding the online Motivators Assessment. You assume full responsibility, and the authors & assessment company and their agents, distributors, officers, employees, representatives, related or affiliated companies, and successors, and the company requesting you to complete this Motivators Assessment (THE GROUP) shall not be liable for, (i) your use and application of The Motivators Assessment, (ii) the adequacy, accuracy, interpretation or usefulness of The Motivators Assessment, and (iii) the results or information developed from your use or application of The Motivators Assessment.

You waive any claim or rights of recourse on account of claims against THE GROUP either in your own right or on account of claims against THE GROUP by third parties. You shall indemnify and hold THE GROUP harmless against any claims, liabilities, demands or suits of third parties.

The foregoing waiver and indemnity shall apply to any claims, rights of recourse, liability, demand or suit for personal injury, property damage, or any other damage, loss or liability, directly or indirectly arising out of, resulting from or in any way connected with The Motivators Assessment, or the use, application, adequacy, accuracy, interpretation, usefulness, or management of The Motivators Assessment, or the results or information developed from any use or application of The Motivators Assessment, and whether based on contract obligation, tort liability (including negligence) or otherwise.

In no event, will THE GROUP be liable for any lost profits or other consequential damages, or for any claim against you by a third party, even if one or more of THE GROUP has been advised of the possibility of such damages.

How to Assure Assessment Accuracy? Independent & Qualified Testing at Standards Set by the APA and EEOC

"...we applaud your efforts at making Motivators reliable and valid..." - Assessment Standards Institute

The Assessment Industry's Past and Present

Assessments have been used since the mid-20th century, initially relied upon by Fortune 500s, calculated by highly skilled PhDs and produced by only a handful of trusted developers. With the advent of the internet in the 1990s, the ability to produce, market, and sell assessments became exponentially easier and less expensive. Since then, it has developed into a kind of "global cottage industry" with hundreds of new assessment developers, producing thousands of different assessments. Each developer purporting its assessments to be scientifically accurate instruments - sold, resold and used by individuals and organizations of all kinds; including many of our largest institutions like Fortune 500s, major universities, world governments, and even military. Frighteningly, this "global cottage industry," which produces data relied upon by millions, is entirely unregulated with nothing to ensure its consumers are receiving what they are being told and sold. There are zero requirements, safeguards, laws or regulations ensuring the consumer receives a scientifically accurate instrument - or even what the developers and sellers claim.

The Solution? Independent & Verifiable Testing by a Qualified Institution

The Assessment Standards Institute (ASI) provides our assessments with verifiably objective testing and reporting that meet standards set by the American Psychological Association (APA) and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). This battery of tests is both voluntary and verifiably transparent. Our goal? To ensure this assessment's professional merit and scientific accuracy for you, the user. These reports are readily available upon request and include:

Construct Validity (APA Standards)

Construct validity is one of the most central concepts in psychology. It is the degree to which a test measures what it claims, or purports to be measuring. Researchers generally establish the construct validity of a measure by correlating it with a number of other measures and arguing from the pattern of correlations that the measure is associated with these variables in theoretically predictable ways.

External Data Reliability (APA Standards)

The term reliability in psychological research refers to the consistency of a testing or assessment method. In this case we are measuring the reliability or consistency of assessment measures over time. External Reliability measures the extent to which assessment measure varies from one use to another. In this analysis we are measuring reliability from the use of a test at one time as compared to another time. The comparison is using a mean variance measure referred to as the mean value ratio. The mean value ratio measures the external or time consistency of an assessment.

Disparate Impact (EEOC Guidelines)

Employers often use tests and other selection procedures to screen applicants for hire and employees for promotion. The use of tests and other selection procedures can be a very effective means of determining which applicants or employees are most qualified for a job. However, use of these tools can also violate the EEOC Guidelines if they disproportionately exclude people in a protected group by class, race, sex, or another covered basis. Importantly, the law does allow for selection procedures to select the best candidates based on job related requirements. If the selection procedure has a disparate impact based on race, color, religion, sex, or national origin, the employer is required to show that the selection procedure is job related and consistent with business necessity. If discrimination exists, the challenged policy or practice should therefore be associated with the skills needed to perform the job successfully.