



Motivators

An Evaluation of Motivational Styles

Report For: **Sample Report**

Date: **10/26/2020**

YOUR COMPANY
LOGO
APPEARS HERE

About This Report

Research shows that the most successful people share the common trait of self-awareness. They're able to more quickly recognize situations that will make them more successful. As such, it's easier for them **to find ways of achieving objectives that resonate and align with their motivations**. Those who understand their natural motivators better are far more likely to pursue the right opportunities, for the right reasons, and get the results they desire.


Motivation helps influence behavior and action. It is vital for superior performance to ensure that your motivations are satisfied by what you do to drive your passion, reduce fatigue, and inspire you.

The Motivators assessment is the result of Dr. Eduard Spranger's and Gordon Allport's combined research into what drives and motivates an individual. **The dimensions of value discovered between these two researchers identify the reasons that drive an individual to utilize their talents in the unique way they do.** These pages will help you understand your motivations and drivers, providing a clear course on how to maximize your performance by achieving better alignment with your passion for what you do and your behavior.

The Elements of the Motivation Index

This Motivation Index is unique to the marketplace in that it examines seven independent and unique aspects of motivation. Most similar instruments only examine six dimensions of motivation by combining the Individualistic and Power into one dimension. This assessment remains true to the original works and models of two of the most significant researchers in this field, thus delivering to you a profile that truly helps you understand your own unique drivers.

The Seven Dimensions of Motivation measured in this report are:

-  **Aesthetic** - A drive for balance, harmony and form.
-  **Economic** - A drive for a return on investment.
-  **Individualistic** - A drive to stand out as independent and unique.
-  **Power** - A drive to be in control or have influence.
-  **Altruistic** - A drive to help others at the expense of self.
-  **Regulatory** - A drive to establish order, routine and structure.
-  **Theoretical** - A drive for knowledge, learning and understanding.


A Closer Look at the Seven Motivator Dimension Scores

Each descriptor below reveals your preference for shaping behavior and indicates what energizes you.

Motivator	Low Score Energized by...	High Score Energized by...
Aesthetic	Grounded Pragmatic and tangible approaches that bring concrete and reliable results.	Eccentric Achieving equilibrium and harmony between the world around you and yourself.
Economic	Satisfied Less competitive approaches and being more satisfied with what you already have.	Self-Mastered Self-interest, economic gains, and achieving real-world returns on efforts.
Individualistic	Secure Not seeking the limelight, keeping ideas to yourself, and less likelihood of self-promotion.	Unrestricted Expressing your autonomy and freedom from others' ideas and protocols.
Power	Submissive Supporting other people's efforts and a less focused approach to owning your own personal space.	Domineering Directing and controlling people, environments, and personal spaces.
Altruistic	Self-Focused Focusing on personal wants and needs and taking a more suspicious stance towards the moves of others.	Pushover Helping and eliminating pain and suffering of others at personal cost.
Regulatory	Defiant Remaining independent of as opposed to depending on the restrictive ideas of others.	Black & White Establishing routine, order, and setting boundaries for yourself and others.
Theoretical	Disinterested A more dismissive view of gathering new information and discovery while relying more on your natural instincts and past experiences for answers.	Scholarly Activities towards knowing everything that can be known about what you believe to be important and truthful.



Summary of Sample's Motivation



1. The **lightly colored, shaded area** for each Motivator highlights **the majority of the population's scores**. This means that if you took a normal sample of motivator scores and ranked the scores from 0 – 100, you can expect that a majority of the scores would fall inside the shaded area. This indicates if most of the population scores higher or lower in the dimension. Are you similarly driven in your Motivators as most others are?
2. The **white, vertical line in the center of the lightly colored, shaded (majority) area** represents the **median score**. Like the median in a road, the median divides the range of scores into equal halves. 50% of the scores are above the median line and 50% of the scores fall below the median line.
3. The **norm box** (small box plot) represents the **AVERAGE** scoring range. The scores inside this box represent the scores of people who are **more like everyone else** (therefore, it is considered **normal**). When your score falls inside the norm box, it is **situational**; you consistently ranked the statements of that dimension **both high and low**.
4. The **colored bar is aligned to your score from 1-100**. These reveal the **level of importance** of that motivator to you. Higher numbers mean you consistently ranked the motivator as **more important** & lower numbers mean the motivator was consistently ranked **less important**. The number also reveals placement in **Very Low, Low, Average, High and Very High**. The people who score within each group share common traits and descriptions (i.e. those who have Very Low scores will share common values with one another).
5. Your **ranking reveals how influential the Motivators are to your behavior and decisions in order from 1-7**. Keep in mind that some Motivators have relationships with other Motivators that strengthen them, but this is a true 1 through 7 ranking based on which are individually most impactful. Rankings are determined by each score's distance from 50. The farther away from 50, whether high or low, the more impact that Motivator will have.

Details of Sample's Motivation



Aesthetic - Very High

You tend to think “alternatively” and will likely seek personal fulfillment, creative alternatives, and peace of mind rather than the status quo.



Economic - Average

You will balance yourself between being satisfied with what you have and a need for more.



Individualistic - Average

You can both lead and follow and can be flexible between taking a stand or sitting quietly.



Power - Average

You can mediate all available ideas without an excessive need to control outcomes.



Altruistic - Average

You are able to balance your own needs and the needs of others on the team.



Regulatory - Average

You understand structure but will not be bound by another’s idea if it does not work for you.




Theoretical - Average

You can rely on both new information and what has worked in the past when making decisions.


Sample's Motivator Word Matrix

The Motivator Word Matrix translates your numeric score into a descriptor in each dimension, and highlights each word relative to other descriptors. By labeling your numeric score, you can better identify, understand, and describe your motivator orientation.



Important Details:

- Motivators are scored from 0-100, shown in **small circles** at the edges of the Matrix: **0 is very low, 100 is very high**.
- The **shaded line** highlights the **median score** for each Motivator based on the population.
- The highlighted Motivator **descriptor** is representative of *your score* in each Motivator.
- Your score and ranking are **not** noted on the Matrix. Refer to your graph for your specific information.



Disclaimer

There are no warranties, express or implied, regarding the online Motivators Assessment. You assume full responsibility, and the authors & assessment company and their agents, distributors, officers, employees, representatives, related or affiliated companies, and successors, and the company requesting you to complete this Motivators Assessment (THE GROUP) shall not be liable for, (i) your use and application of The Motivators Assessment, (ii) the adequacy, accuracy, interpretation or usefulness of The Motivators Assessment, and (iii) the results or information developed from your use or application of The Motivators Assessment.

You waive any claim or rights of recourse on account of claims against THE GROUP either in your own right or on account of claims against THE GROUP by third parties. You shall indemnify and hold THE GROUP harmless against any claims, liabilities, demands or suits of third parties.

The foregoing waiver and indemnity shall apply to any claims, rights of recourse, liability, demand or suit for personal injury, property damage, or any other damage, loss or liability, directly or indirectly arising out of, resulting from or in any way connected with The Motivators Assessment, or the use, application, adequacy, accuracy, interpretation, usefulness, or management of The Motivators Assessment, or the results or information developed from any use or application of The Motivators Assessment, and whether based on contract obligation, tort liability (including negligence) or otherwise.

In no event, will THE GROUP be liable for any lost profits or other consequential damages, or for any claim against you by a third party, even if one or more of THE GROUP has been advised of the possibility of such damages.



How to Assure Assessment Accuracy? Independent & Qualified Testing at Standards Set by the **APA** and **EEOC**

“...we applaud your efforts at making Motivators reliable and valid...” - Assessment Standards Institute

The Assessment Industry’s Past and Present

Assessments have been used since the mid-20th century, initially relied upon by Fortune 500s, calculated by highly skilled PhDs and produced by only a handful of trusted developers. With the advent of the internet in the 1990s, the ability to produce, market, and sell assessments became exponentially easier and less expensive. Since then, it has developed into a kind of “global cottage industry” with hundreds of new assessment developers, producing thousands of different assessments. Each developer purporting its assessments to be scientifically accurate instruments - sold, resold and used by individuals and organizations of all kinds; including many of our largest institutions like Fortune 500s, major universities, world governments, and even military. Frighteningly, this “global cottage industry,” which produces data relied upon by millions, is entirely unregulated with nothing to ensure its consumers are receiving what they are being told and sold. There are zero requirements, safeguards, laws or regulations ensuring the consumer receives a scientifically accurate instrument - or even what the developers and sellers claim.

The Solution? Independent & Verifiable Testing by a Qualified Institution

The *Assessment Standards Institute (ASI)* provides our assessments with verifiably objective testing and reporting that meet standards set by the **American Psychological Association (APA)** and the **Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)**. This battery of tests is both voluntary and verifiably transparent. Our goal? To ensure this assessment’s professional merit and scientific accuracy for you, the user. These reports are readily available upon request and include:

Construct Validity (APA Standards)

Construct validity is one of the most central concepts in psychology. It is the degree to which a test measures what it claims, or purports to be measuring. Researchers generally establish the construct validity of a measure by correlating it with a number of other measures and arguing from the pattern of correlations that the measure is associated with these variables in theoretically predictable ways.

External Data Reliability (APA Standards)

The term reliability in psychological research refers to the consistency of a testing or assessment method. In this case we are measuring the reliability or consistency of assessment measures over time. External Reliability measures the extent to which assessment measure varies from one use to another. In this analysis we are measuring reliability from the use of a test at one time as compared to another time. The comparison is using a mean variance measure referred to as the mean value ratio. The mean value ratio measures the external or time consistency of an assessment.

Disparate Impact (EEOC Guidelines)

Employers often use tests and other selection procedures to screen applicants for hire and employees for promotion. The use of tests and other selection procedures can be a very effective means of determining which applicants or employees are most qualified for a job. However, use of these tools can also violate the EEOC Guidelines if they disproportionately exclude people in a protected group by class, race, sex, or another covered basis. Importantly, the law does allow for selection procedures to select the best candidates based on job related requirements. If the selection procedure has a disparate impact based on race, color, religion, sex, or national origin, the employer is required to show that the selection procedure is job related and consistent with business necessity. If discrimination exists, the challenged policy or practice should therefore be associated with the skills needed to perform the job successfully.

Issue date: January 1, 2020. Renewal date: January 1, 2025.

